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# A head-to-tail isomer of bis[ $\mu$-2-( $N^{\prime}$-methyl-4,4'-bipyridinium-1-yl)acetamidato]bis[cisdiammineplatinum(II)] hexaperchlorate dihydrate 

In the title compound, $\left[\mathrm{Pt}_{2}\left(\mu-\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{4}\right]\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{6}--$ $2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, the dinuclear $\mathrm{Pt}^{\mathrm{II}}$ cation is found to be a head-to-tail isomer having pseudo- $C_{2}$ symmetry. Two positively charged viologen moieties tethered to the diplatinum unit are located at the farthest position to minimize the electrostatic repulsion between the moieties, and also serve as relatively strong electron-withdrawing groups, giving rise to a very long intradimer $\mathrm{Pt} \cdots \mathrm{Pt}$ distance $[3.0852(13) \AA$ ] which is the longest distance among those reported for amidate-bridged cis-diammineplatinum(II) dimers to date.

## Comment

A photochemical system made up of $\mathrm{Ru}(\mathrm{bpy}))_{3}{ }^{2+}$ (bpy $=2,2^{\prime}-$ bipyridine) and methylviologen (usually, $N, N^{\prime}$-dimethyl-4, $4^{\prime}$ bipyridinium dichloride) has been thought of as one of the promising candidates to achieve artificial photosynthetic devices (Borgarello et al., 1981). More than a decade ago, we discovered that amidate-bridged cis-diammineplatinum(II) dimers, $\left[\mathrm{Pt}_{2}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{4}(\mu \text {-amidato })_{2}\right]^{2+}($ amidate $=$ acetamidate, $\alpha$ pyrrolidinonate, $\alpha$-pyridonate, etc.), are generally active as $\mathrm{H}_{2^{-}}$ evolving catalysts in the above photosystem (Sakai \& Matsumoto, 1990; Sakai et al., 1993). Since then, efforts have been made to develop photosynthetic molecular devices which enable visible-light-induced reduction of water into molecular hydrogen in a sophisticated manner. In order to confirm the validity of our approach, efforts have also been made to prepare and to evaluate the amidate-bridged platinum dimers tethered to the methylviologen derivatives.

(I)

We now report, for the first time, the crystal structure of such a dimer, viz. HT- $\left[\mathrm{Pt}_{2}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{4}(\mu \text {-bridge })_{2}\right]\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{6} \cdot 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, $(\mathrm{I})$, where bridge $=2-\left(N^{\prime}\right.$-methyl-4,4'-bipyridinium-1-yl)acetamidate and HT is a prefix denoting that this is a head-totail dimer, at least in the solid state. Note that two geometrical isomers, head-to-head (HH) and head-to-tail (HT), are possible for this class of doubly bridged dimers, because of the asymmetric feature of amidate $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}$ units. It should also be noted that the compound rapidly isomerizes, upon dissolution to aqueous media, to give a mixture of the HH and HT isomers; this has been confirmed thus far by using ${ }^{195} \mathrm{Pt}$ NMR

Received 7 August 2003 Accepted 11 August 2003 Online 23 August 2003


Figure 1
The structure of the complex cation in (I), showing the atom-labeling scheme. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the $50 \%$ probability level.


Figure 2
The structure of the cationic part of (I), showing that the complex has pseudo- $C_{2}$ symmetry.
(the details will appear in our future publications). The catalytic activity of (I) has been confirmed to be comparable to that of the acetamidate-bridged analog reported in our earlier
paper (Sakai et al., 1993). An attractive feature of (I) is that the photolysis of a solution containing (I), together with edta (sacrificial electron donor) and $\mathrm{Ru}(\mathrm{bpy})_{3}{ }^{2+}$ (photosensitizer), in the absence of methylviologen, also results in the evolution of $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ in a relatively high quantum efficiency. Details of the photocatalytic function of (I) will also appear in future publications.

The asymmetric unit of (I) involves a dinuclear $\mathrm{Pt}^{\mathrm{II}}$ cation, six perchlorate anions and two water molecules. As previously reported on an analogous dimer doubly bridged by $N$-methylisonicotinamidates, $\mathrm{HH}-\left[\mathrm{Pt}_{2}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{4}(\mu\right.$ - $N$-methylisonicotinamidato $\left.)_{2}\right]\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{4}$ [abbreviated as (II); Sakai, Shiomi et al., 2003], it is often possible to determine the binding directions of two bridging amidates in a rational way (see Experimental). The experiments reveal that the reported dimer adopts the head-to-tail isomeric form in the crystal structure. As discussed above, the compound behaves as a mixture of both the HH and HT isomers in solution (based on ${ }^{195} \mathrm{Pt}$ NMR; unpublished results). As shown in Fig. 2, the complex cation in (I) possesses pseudo- $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ symmetry, in which the conformations of the two bridges roughly resemble one another $\left[\mathrm{O} 1-\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{N} 7=38(3)^{\circ}, \mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{N} 7=\right.$ $-140(2)^{\circ}, \mathrm{O} 2-\mathrm{C} 14-\mathrm{C} 15-\mathrm{N} 9=9(3)^{\circ}$ and $\mathrm{N} 2-\mathrm{C} 14-$ $\left.\mathrm{C} 15-\mathrm{N} 9=-165(2)^{\circ}\right]$. The most remarkable feature is an amazingly long intradimer $\mathrm{Pt} \cdots \mathrm{Pt}$ distance $[3.0852$ (13) $\AA$ ]; the values reported for analogous dimers having the cis$\operatorname{Pt}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{2}$ units have been in the range 2.88-3.06 $\AA$ (Sakai \& Takahashi, 2003; Sakai, Shiomi et al., 2003). As described in these reports, the observed long $\mathrm{Pt} \cdots \mathrm{Pt}$ distance in (I) reflects the relatively weak $\mathrm{Pt}^{\mathrm{II}}-\mathrm{Pt}^{\mathrm{II}}$ bond. Obviously, the positively charged bipyridinium moieties in (I) play roles as electronwithdrawing groups to diminish the electron density at the $\mathrm{Pt}^{\mathrm{II}}$ centers, leading to the weakening of the 'mutual dative bonds' within the diplatinum cation [two $\mathrm{Pt}^{\mathrm{II}}$ centers in a close contact are considered to form dative $\operatorname{Pt}\left(5 d_{z 2}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Pt}(6 p$ or $6 s)$ bonds with one another; Connick et al., 1997]. It must be also noted that the long intradimer $\mathrm{Pt} \cdots \mathrm{Pt}$ distance is, in part, due to the HT structure, which tends to give a longer intradimer $\mathrm{Pt} \cdots \mathrm{Pt}$ distance compared to the HH one (Sakai, Tanaka et al., 1998).

The dihedral angle between the two Pt coordination planes within the dimeric unit $(\tau)$, and their average torsional twist about the $\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{Pt}$ axis $(\omega)$ are estimated as $\tau=40.8(5)^{\circ}$ and $\omega=$ 5 (1) ${ }^{\circ}$ (see also Table 1), where $\omega=0^{\circ}$ denotes that the two Pt coordination planes stack in an eclipsed fashion. The small $\omega$ value is characteristic of dimers bridged by chain amidate ligands (Sakai, Tanaka et al., 1998; Matsumoto \& Sakai, 1999; Sakai, Shiomi et al., 2003), indicating that the intradimer PtPt distance and the $\omega$ value are strongly correlated with one another. On the other hand, atoms Pt 1 and Pt 2 are respectively displaced from their coordination planes by 0.063 (8) and 0.085 ( 8 ) $\AA$ in such a manner that they have an attractive interaction with one another.

The pyridinium plane directly attached to the acetamidate unit is inclined with respect to the bridging $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{N}$ unit by $89(3)^{\circ}$ for $\mathrm{N} 9 / \mathrm{C} 16-\mathrm{C} 20$ and $84(1)^{\circ}$ for $\mathrm{N} 7 / \mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 7$. These are comparable to that reported for the uncoordinated ligand [79.1 (2) ${ }^{\circ}$; Sakai et al., 1997]. The twist angles of the two


Figure 3
The crystal packing of (I), viewed down the $a$ axis. H atoms have been omitted for clarity.
pyridinium planes within the individual bipyridinium moieties are 28.4 (8) $)^{\circ}$ for the bipyridinium involving N 9 and N 10 , and $25.6(12)^{\circ}$ for that involving N7 and N8. These values are both effectively larger than that observed for the uncoordinated ligand [6.1 (2) ${ }^{\circ}$; Sakai et al., 1997]. This might reflect that the $\pi^{*}$ (bipyridinium) orbitals accept part of the electron density shifted from the Pt centers, leading to the decrease in aromaticity at the central $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bonds of the bipyridinium units.

Fig. 3 shows a crystal packing view of (I). The shortest $\mathrm{Pt} \cdots \mathrm{Pt}$ distance is determined as $\mathrm{Pt} 1 \cdots \mathrm{Pt} 2(x+1, y, z)=$ 9.0380 (14) A (see Table 1), confirming the lack of any intermolecular $\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{Pt}$ interaction in the crystal structure. The crystal packing is stabilized both by the electrostatic interactions between the complex cations and perchlorate anions and also by hydrogen bonds formed between the ammines and the O atoms of perchlorates (Tables 2 and 3).

## Experimental

$N$-(Carbamoylmethyl)- $N^{\prime}$-methyl-4,4'-bipyridinium diperchlorate hydrate was prepared as previously described (Sakai et al., 1997). Compound (I) was prepared as follows: to an aqueous solution of cis$\left[\mathrm{Pt}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{OH}_{2}\right)_{2}\right]\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{2}\left(0.2 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{Pt} / 2 \mathrm{ml} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$, prepared as previously described (Sakai, Takeshita et al., 1998), was added $N$-(carbamoylmethyl)- $N^{\prime}$-methyl-4,4'-bipyridinium diperchlorate hydrate $(0.32 \mathrm{mmol})$. The solution was heated at 323 K for 2 d . Letting the filtrate stand at room temperature for a few days afforded (I) as yellow plates (yield: 6\%). Analysis calculated for
$\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{44} \mathrm{Cl}_{6} \mathrm{~N}_{10} \mathrm{O}_{28} \mathrm{Pt}_{2}$ : C 20.18, H 2.87, N 9.05\%; found: C 19.85, H 2.57 , N $8.82 \%$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 296 \mathrm{~K}$ ): $\delta 4.52(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ; 5.52-5.65(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$; 8.55-8.61 ( $m, 8 \mathrm{H}$ ); 9.01-9.07 p.p.m. $(m, 8 \mathrm{H})$.

## Crystal data

$\left[\mathrm{Pt}_{2}\left(\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{4}\right]$ - $\quad Z=2$
$\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{6} \cdot 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$
$M_{r}=1547.59$
Triclinic, $P \overline{1}$
$a=9.7641$ ( 8 ) $\AA$
$b=10.4448$ (8) $\AA$
$c=27.245(2) \AA$
$\alpha=80.396(4)^{\circ}$
$D_{x}=2.097 \mathrm{Mg} \mathrm{m}^{-3}$
Mo $K \alpha$ radiation
Cell parameters from 801 reflections
$\theta=2.4-16.6^{\circ}$
$\mu=6.13 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$
$\beta=83.548(3)^{\circ}$
$T=296(2) \mathrm{K}$
$\gamma=63.566(3)^{\circ}$
Plate, yellow
$V=2450.9(3) \AA^{3}$
$0.42 \times 0.28 \times 0.06 \mathrm{~mm}$

## Data collection

## Bruker SMART APEX CCD-

detector diffractometer
$\omega$ scans
Absorption correction: multi-scan
(SADABS; Sheldrick, 1996)
$T_{\min }=0.233, T_{\max }=0.692$
14233 measured reflections

> 7033 independent reflections 2548 reflections with $I>2 \sigma(I)$
> $R_{\text {int }}=0.164$
> $\theta_{\max }=23.3^{\circ}$
> $h=-10 \rightarrow 9$
> $k=-11 \rightarrow 11$
> $l=-30 \rightarrow 30$

## Refinement

Refinement on $F^{2}$
$R\left[F^{2}>2 \sigma\left(F^{2}\right)\right]=0.062$
H -atom parameters constrained
$w R\left(F^{2}\right)=0.108$
$w=1 /\left[\sigma^{2}\left(F_{o}{ }^{2}\right)\right]$
$S=0.70$
$(\Delta / \sigma)_{\text {max }}<0.001$
7033 reflections
613 parameters
$\Delta \rho_{\text {max }}=0.89 \mathrm{e} \mathrm{A}^{-3}$
$\Delta \rho_{\text {min }}=-0.89 \mathrm{e}^{\AA^{-3}}$

Table 1
Selected geometric parameters ( $\left({ }^{\circ},{ }^{\circ}\right)$.

| $\mathrm{Pt} 1-\mathrm{N} 1$ | $1.996(17)$ | $\mathrm{Pt} 1 \cdots \mathrm{Pt} 2^{\mathrm{i}}$ | $9.0380(14)$ |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| $\mathrm{Pt} 1-\mathrm{N} 3$ | $2.012(13)$ | $\mathrm{Pt} 2-\mathrm{N} 2$ | $1.974(15)$ |
| $\mathrm{Pt} 1-\mathrm{O} 2$ | $2.034(12)$ | $\mathrm{Pt} 2-\mathrm{N} 6$ | $1.991(17)$ |
| $\mathrm{Pt} 1-\mathrm{N} 4$ | $2.082(14)$ | $\mathrm{Pt} 2-\mathrm{N} 5$ | $2.044(16)$ |
| $\mathrm{Pt} 1 \cdots \mathrm{Pt} 2$ | $3.0852(13)$ | $\mathrm{Pt} 2-\mathrm{O} 1$ | $2.048(14)$ |
|  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{Pt} 1-\mathrm{N} 3$ | $91.2(6)$ | $\mathrm{N} 2-\mathrm{Pt} 2-\mathrm{N} 6$ | $89.7(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{Pt} 1-\mathrm{O} 2$ | $89.6(6)$ | $\mathrm{N} 2-\mathrm{Pt} 2-\mathrm{N} 5$ | $178.5(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{N} 3-\mathrm{Pt} 1-\mathrm{O} 2$ | $176.2(6)$ | $\mathrm{N} 6-\mathrm{Pt} 2-\mathrm{N} 5$ | $90.8(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{Pt} 1-\mathrm{N} 4$ | $175.4(7)$ | $\mathrm{N} 2-\mathrm{Pt} 2-\mathrm{O} 1$ | $90.8(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{N} 3-\mathrm{Pt} 1-\mathrm{N} 4$ | $91.8(6)$ | $\mathrm{N} 6-\mathrm{Pt} 2-\mathrm{O} 1$ | $171.7(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{O} 2-\mathrm{Pt} 1-\mathrm{N} 4$ | $87.2(5)$ | $\mathrm{N} 5-\mathrm{Pt} 2-\mathrm{O} 1$ | $88.4(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{Pt} 1-\mathrm{Pt} 2$ | $75.4(6)$ | $\mathrm{N} 2-\mathrm{Pt} 2-\mathrm{Pt} 1$ | $76.4(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{N} 3-\mathrm{Pt} 1-\mathrm{Pt} 2$ | $103.5(4)$ | $\mathrm{N} 6-\mathrm{Pt} 2-\mathrm{Pt} 1$ | $108.8(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{O} 2-\mathrm{Pt} 1-\mathrm{Pt} 2$ | $80.3(4)$ | $\mathrm{N} 5-\mathrm{Pt} 2-\mathrm{Pt} 1$ | $104.8(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{N} 4-\mathrm{Pt} 1-\mathrm{Pt} 2$ | $107.3(5)$ | $\mathrm{O} 1-\mathrm{Pt} 2-\mathrm{Pt} 1$ | $79.4(4)$ |
|  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{O} 2-\mathrm{Pt} 1-\mathrm{Pt} 2-\mathrm{N} 2$ | $5.0(6)$ | $\mathrm{N} 3-\mathrm{Pt} 1-\mathrm{Pt} 2-\mathrm{N} 5$ | $4.1(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{N} 4-\mathrm{Pt} 1-\mathrm{Pt} 2-\mathrm{N} 6$ | $3.9(7)$ | $\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{Pt} 1-\mathrm{Pt} 2-\mathrm{O} 1$ | $6.3(6)$ |

Symmetry code: (i) $1+x, y, z$.

Table 2
Contact distances ( A ).

| O11B $\cdots \mathrm{O} 28 B$ | 2.91 (5) | O27. . O14 $B^{\text {iii }}$ | 3.06 (4) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{O} 27 \cdots \mathrm{O} 28 B^{\text {i }}$ | 2.72 (4) | O27 . . O9 $B^{\text {ii }}$ | 3.18 (3) |
| $\mathrm{O} 27 \cdots \mathrm{O} 10 A^{\text {ii }}$ | 2.81 (5) | $\mathrm{O} 28 A \cdots \mathrm{O} 11 A^{\text {iv }}$ | 2.80 (7) |
| $\mathrm{O} 27 \cdots \mathrm{O} 28 A^{\mathrm{i}}$ | 2.87 (5) | $\mathrm{O} 28 B \cdots \mathrm{O} 27^{\text {v }}$ | 2.72 (4) |
| O27. . O13 $A^{\text {iii }}$ | 3.06 (4) | $\mathrm{O} 28 B \cdots \mathrm{O} 13 B^{\text {iv }}$ | 3.12 (6) |
| Symmetry cod $1-x, 1-y, 1$ | $\begin{aligned} & y, z ; \\ & z ;(\mathrm{vi})-3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & x, 1+y, z ; \quad \text { (iii) } \\ & y,-z . \end{aligned}$ | $z ; \quad \text { (iv) }$ |

Table 3
Hydrogen-bonding geometry ( $\AA{ }^{\circ},{ }^{\circ}$ ).

| $D-\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ | $D-\mathrm{H}$ | H $\cdots A$ | $D \cdots A$ | $D-\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| N3-H3A . . O 4 | 0.89 | 2.22 | 2.96 (2) | 141 |
| $\mathrm{N} 3-\mathrm{H} 3 B \cdots \mathrm{O} 15 B$ | 0.89 | 2.30 | 3.16 (3) | 161 |
| $\mathrm{N} 3-\mathrm{H} 3 B \cdots \mathrm{O} 16 A$ | 0.89 | 1.90 | 2.79 (2) | 179 |
| $\mathrm{N} 3-\mathrm{H} 3 A \cdots \mathrm{O} 25$ | 0.89 | 2.57 | 3.10 (2) | 118 |
| $\mathrm{N} 3-\mathrm{H} 3 C \cdots \mathrm{O} 21 A^{\mathrm{ii}}$ | 0.89 | 2.38 | 3.05 (2) | 133 |
| $\mathrm{N} 4-\mathrm{H} 4 A \cdots \mathrm{O} 24 A$ | 0.89 | 2.25 | 3.12 (4) | 165 |
| $\mathrm{N} 4-\mathrm{H} 4 B \cdots \mathrm{O}^{\text {i }}$ | 0.89 | 2.16 | 3.01 (2) | 159 |
| $\mathrm{N} 4-\mathrm{H} 4 A \cdots \mathrm{O} 20 A^{\mathrm{ii}}$ | 0.89 | 2.75 | 3.19 (2) | 113 |
| $\mathrm{N} 4-\mathrm{H} 4 B \cdots \mathrm{O} 20 B^{\text {ii }}$ | 0.89 | 2.58 | 3.16 (6) | 124 |
| $\mathrm{N} 4-\mathrm{H} 4 \mathrm{C} \cdots \mathrm{O} 23{ }^{\text {vi }}$ | 0.89 | 2.15 | 2.99 (3) | 156 |
| N5-H5A . . O 27 | 0.89 | 1.96 | 2.84 (2) | 173 |
| N5-H5C $\cdot \mathrm{O} 15 B$ | 0.89 | 2.43 | 2.89 (3) | 112 |
| N5-H5B $\cdot \mathrm{O} 19 B^{\mathrm{ii}}$ | 0.89 | 2.32 | 3.16 (5) | 157 |
| N6-H6 $\cdots$ O20 $B^{\text {ii }}$ | 0.89 | 2.26 | 3.04 (5) | 145 |
| $\mathrm{N} 6-\mathrm{H} 6 B \cdots \mathrm{O} 17 A^{\mathrm{i}}$ | 0.89 | 2.53 | 3.05 (3) | 118 |
| N6-H6C. . O17 $B^{\text {i }}$ | 0.89 | 2.71 | 3.12 (3) | 109 |
| N6-H6 $A \cdots \mathrm{O} 18 A^{\text {i }}$ | 0.89 | 2.74 | 3.09 (3) | 105 |
| Symmetry codes: <br> (i) $x-1, y, z$; <br> (ii) $x, 1+y, z$; <br> (iii) $-x, 1-y, 1-z$; $1-x, 1-y, 1-z ;$ (v) $1+x, y, z$; (vi) $-x, 1-y,-z$. |  |  |  |  |

The binding directions of O and NH for the bridging amidates were rationally determined, as follows, by the least-squares calculations performed for two possible arrangements for each ligand. A wrong combination gave an asymmetric feature with regard to the equivalent displacement parameters of the assumed O and N atoms, while an appropriate combination gave a moderate balance in these values. For O 1 and $\mathrm{N} 1, U_{\mathrm{eq}}(\mathrm{O} 1)=0.044(5) \AA^{2}$ and $U_{\mathrm{eq}}(\mathrm{N} 1)=$ 0.054 (6) $\AA^{2}$ were judged to be correct; the reverse selection gave values of $U_{\text {eq }}\left(\mathrm{N}\right.$ instead of O1) $=0.019$ (4) $\AA^{2}$ and $U_{\text {eq }}(\mathrm{O}$ instead of $\mathrm{N} 1)=0.088(8) \AA^{2}$. For O 2 and $\mathrm{N} 2, U_{\mathrm{eq}}(\mathrm{O} 2)=0.037(4) \AA^{2}$ and $U_{\text {eq }}(\mathrm{N} 2)=0.033(5) \AA^{2}$ were judged to be correct; the reverse selection gave values of $U_{\text {eq }}(\mathrm{N}$ instead of O 2$)=0.014$ (4) $\AA^{2}$ and $U_{\text {eq }}(\mathrm{O}$ instead of N 2$)=0.061(5) \AA^{2}$. It must be also noted that the equivalent displacement parameters of the two Pt ions $\left[U_{\text {eq }}(\mathrm{Pt} 1)=\right.$ $0.0327(3) \AA^{2}$ and $\left.U_{\text {eq }}(\mathrm{Pt} 2)=0.0355(3) \AA^{2}\right]$ deny the coexistence of two isomers in a certain disorder model (Sakai, Shiomi et al., 2003).

Four of six $\mathrm{ClO}_{4}^{-}$anions show orientational disorder. Around each Cl atom there are two sets of possible positions as follows: $\mathrm{O} 7 A /$ $\mathrm{O} 8 A / \mathrm{O} 9 A / \mathrm{O} 10 A$ and $\mathrm{O} 7 B / \mathrm{O} 8 B / \mathrm{O} 9 B / \mathrm{O} 10 B$ around $\mathrm{Cl} 2 ; \mathrm{O} 11 A /$ $\mathrm{O} 12 A / \mathrm{O} 13 A / \mathrm{O} 14 A$ and $\mathrm{O} 11 B / \mathrm{O} 12 B / \mathrm{O} 13 B / \mathrm{O} 14 B$ around $\mathrm{Cl} 3 ; \mathrm{O} 15 A /$ $\mathrm{O} 16 A / \mathrm{O} 17 A / \mathrm{O} 18 A$ and $\mathrm{O} 15 B / \mathrm{O} 16 B / \mathrm{O} 17 B / \mathrm{O} 18 B$ around Cl 4 ; and $\mathrm{O} 19 A / \mathrm{O} 20 A / \mathrm{O} 21 A / \mathrm{O} 22 A$ and $\mathrm{O} 19 B / \mathrm{O} 20 B / \mathrm{O} 21 B / \mathrm{O} 22 B$ around Cl 5 . It was assumed that the disordered O atoms around each Cl atom have the same isotropic displacement parameter. Furthermore, $\mathrm{Cl}-$ O distances were restrained at 1.43 (3) $\AA$ and six $\mathrm{O} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ distances within each perchlorate anion were restrained as equal. The occupation factors of site $A$ and $B\left(\operatorname{sof}_{A}\right.$ and $\left.\operatorname{sof}_{B}\right)$ around each Cl converged at values as follows: $\operatorname{sof}_{A}(\mathrm{Cl} 2)=26(2) \%$ and $\operatorname{sof}_{B}(\mathrm{Cl} 2)=$ $74(2) \% ; \operatorname{sof}_{A}(\mathrm{Cl} 3)=50(2) \%$ and $\operatorname{sof}_{B}(\mathrm{Cl} 3)=50(2) \% ; \operatorname{sof}_{A}(\mathrm{Cl} 4)=$ $54(2) \%$ and $\operatorname{sof}_{B}(\mathrm{Cl} 4)=46(2) \% ; \operatorname{sof}_{A}(\mathrm{Cl} 5)=78(1) \%$ and $\operatorname{sof}_{B}(\mathrm{Cl} 5)$ $=22(1) \%$. One of the remaining $\mathrm{ClO}_{4}{ }^{-}$ions was also judged to be partially disordered, in which only one of the four O atoms was
assumed to be disordered over two sites ( $\mathrm{O} 24 A$ and $\mathrm{O} 24 B$ ). The occupation factors of these atoms were refined to converge at 42 (3) and $58(3) \%$, respectively. A water molecule was also assumed to be disordered over two sites ( $\mathrm{O} 28 A$ and $\mathrm{O} 28 B$ ). These were assumed to have the same isotropic displacement parameter. The occupation factors of site $A$ and $B$ converged at 45 (7) and $55(7) \%$, respectively.

All H atoms, except for those of water molecules, were located at their idealized positions $[\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}($ methyl $)=0.96 \AA, \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}($ aromatic $)=$ $0.93 \AA, \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{H}($ ammine $)=0.89 \AA$ and $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}($ amidate $)=0.86 \AA]$, and included in the refinement in riding-motion approximation, with $U_{\text {iso }}($ methyl H$)=1.5 U_{\text {eq }}($ bonded C $), U_{\text {iso }}($ aromatic H$)=$ $1.2 U_{\text {eq }}\left(\right.$ bonded C), $U_{\text {iso }}($ ammine H$)=1.5 U_{\text {eq }}($ bonded N$)$ and $U_{\text {iso }}($ amidate H$)=1.2 U_{\text {eq }}($ bonded N$)$. The water H atoms were not located. The highest peak was located $1.65 \AA$ from Pt2, while the deepest hole was located 1.17 A from Pt2.

Data collection: SMART (Bruker, 2001); cell refinement: SAINT (Bruker, 2001); data reduction: SAINT; program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXS97 (Sheldrick, 1997); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 1997); molecular graphics: KENX (Sakai, 2002); software used to prepare material for publication: SHELXL97, TEXSAN (Molecular Structure Corporation, 2001), KENX and ORTEPII (Johnson, 1976).

This work was partly supported by a Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (No. 14340223) from the Ministry of Education, Science, Sports, and Culture of Japan.
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